

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line or what's good for the Republican Party and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of showing blatantly political hit pieces about a candidate why not show something from both sides. Sinclair should be made broadcast Fahrenheit 911 either right before or right after showing this ridiculous piece of proaganda. This is not news its a distorted hit piece. Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.